TITLE:

Smoothing effect in Gevrey classes for Schrodinger equations (Structure of Solutions for Partial Differential Equations)

AUTHOR(S):

Kajitani, Kunihiko

CITATION:

Kajitani, Kunihiko. Smoothing effect in Gevrey classes for Schrodinger equations (Structure of Solutions for Partial Differential Equations). 数理解析研究所講究録 1998, 1056: 46-58

ISSUE DATE:

1998-08

URL:

http://hdl.handle.net/2433/62299

RIGHT:

京都大学学術情報リポジトリ KURENAI 紅

Kyoto University Research Information Repository

数理解析研究所講究録
1056 巻 1998 年 46-58

Smoothing effect in Gevrey classes for Schrödinger equations

Kunihiko Kajitani
Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
305 Tsukuba Ibaraki Japan

May 29, 1998

Introduction

We shall investigate Gevrey smoothing effects of the solutions to the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations. Roughly speaking,we shall prove that if the initial data decay as $e^{-c<x>^{\kappa}}(0<\kappa\leq 1, c>0)$, then the solutions belong to Gevrey class $\gamma^{1/\kappa}$ with respect to the space variables. Let $T>0$. We consider the following Cauchy problem,

(1) $$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x)-i\Delta u(t,x)-b(t,x,D)u(t,x)=0, t\in[-T,T], x\in R^{n},$$

(2) $$u(0,x)=u_{0}(x), x\in R^{n},$$

where

(3) $$b(t,x,D)u=\sum_{j=1}^{n}b_{j}(t,x)D_{j}u+b_{0}(t,x)u,,$$

and $D_{j}=-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$. We assume that the coefficients $b_{j}(t,x)$ satisfy

(4) $$|D_{x}^{\alpha}b_{j}(t,x)|\leq C_{b}(\rho_{b}<x>)^{-|\alpha|}|\alpha|!^{s},$$

for $(t,x)\in[-T,T]\times R^{n}, \alpha\in N^{n}$, where $<x>=(1+|x|^{2})^{1/2}$. Moreover we assume that there is $\kappa\in(0,1]$ such that

(5) $$\lim_{|x|\rightarrow\infty}Reb_{j}(t,x)<x>^{1-\kappa}=0, \text{uniformly in } t\in[-T,T].$$

For $\rho\geq 0$ let define a exponential operator $e^{\rho<D>^{\kappa}}$ as follows,

$$e^{\rho<D>^{\kappa}}u(x)=\int_{R^{n}}e^{ix\xi+\rho<\xi>^{\kappa}}\hat{u}(\xi)\bar{d}\xi$$

where $\hat{u}(\xi)$ stands for a Fourier transform of u and $\bar{d}\xi=(2\pi)^{-n}d\xi$. For $\varepsilon\in R$ denote $\phi_{\varepsilon}=x\xi-i\varepsilon x\xi<x>^{\sigma-1}<\xi>^{\delta-1}$, where $\sigma+\delta=\kappa$ and we define

$$I_{\phi_{\epsilon}}(x,D)u(x)=\int_{R^{n}}e^{i\phi_{\epsilon}(x,\xi)}\hat{u}(\xi)d\xi.$$

Then our main theorem follows.

Theorem. *Assume (4)-(5) are valid and there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $I_{\phi_\varepsilon} u_0 \in L^2(R^n)$. Then if $d\kappa \leq 1$, there exists a solution of (1)-(2) satisfying that there are $C > 0, \rho > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha u(t,x)| \leq C(\rho|t|)^{-|\alpha|}|\alpha|!^s e^{\delta<x>^\kappa}, \tag{6}$$

for $(t,x) \in [-T,T]\backslash 0 \times R^n, \alpha \in N^n$.

Remark. (i) Kato T. and Yajima in [12] considered the smoothing effect phenomena . A. Jensen in [6] and Hayashi,Nakamitsu & Tsutsumi in [5] showed that if $< x >^k u_0(x) \in L^2(R^n)$, the solution u of (1)-(2) belongs to H^k_{loc} for $t \neq 0$, Hayashi & Saitoh in [4] proved that if $e^{\delta<x>^2} u_0$ $(\delta > 0)$ is in $L^2(R^n)$, the solution u is analytic in x for $t \neq 0$ and De Bouard, Hayashi & Kato in [1], Kato & Taniguti in [11] show that if u_0 satisfies $\|(x \cdot \nabla)^j u_0\| \leq C^{j+1} j!^s$ for $j = 0, 1.2...$, then the solution belongs to Gevrey $\gamma^{s/2}$ with respect to x for $t \neq 0$. Theorem 1 is proved by Kajitani in [8] and [10], when $\sigma = \kappa = 1$.

1 Weighted Sobolev spaces

We introduce some Sobolev spaces with weights. Let ρ, δ be real numbers and $\kappa \in (0,1]$. Define

$$\hat{H}^\kappa_\delta = \{u \in L^2_{loc}(R^n); e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} u(x) \in L^2(R^n)\}.$$

For $\rho \geq 0$ let define

$$H^\kappa_\rho = \{u \in L^2(R^n); Fu(\xi) \in \hat{H}_\rho(R^n_\xi)\},$$

where Fu stands for the Fourier transform of u. For $\rho < 0$ we define H^κ_ρ as the dual space of $H^\kappa_{-\rho}$. Then the Fourier transform F becomes bijective from H^κ_ρ to $\hat{H}_{\rho^\kappa}$. We define the operator $e^{\rho<D>^\kappa}$ mapping continuously from $H^\kappa_{\rho_1}$ to $H^\kappa_{\rho_1-\rho}$ as follows;

$$e^{\rho<D>^\kappa} u(x) = F^{-1}(e^{\rho<\xi>^\kappa} Fu(\xi))(x),$$

for $u \in H^\kappa_{\rho_1}$ and $e^{\delta<x>^\kappa}$ maps continuously from $\hat{H}^\kappa_{\delta_1}$ to $\hat{H}^\kappa_{\delta_1-\delta}$. We define for $\delta \geq 0$ and $\rho \in R$

$$H^\kappa_{\rho,\delta} = \{u \in H_\rho; e^{\rho<D>^\kappa} u \in \hat{H}^\kappa_\delta\}. \tag{1.1}$$

For $\delta < 0$ we define $H^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$ as the dual space of $H^\kappa_{-\rho,-\delta}$. We note that $H^\kappa_{\rho,0} = H^\kappa_\rho, H^\kappa_{0,\delta} = \hat{H}^\kappa_\delta$ and $H^\kappa_{0,0} = L^2(R^n)$. Furthermore we define for $\rho \geq 0$ and $\delta \in R$

$$\tilde{H}^\kappa_{\rho,\delta} = \{u \in \hat{H}^\kappa_\delta; e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} u \in H^\kappa_\rho\} \tag{1.2}$$

and for $\rho < 0$ define $\tilde{H}^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$ as the dual spase of $\tilde{H}^\kappa_{-\rho,-\delta}$. Denote by H' the dual space of a topological space H. Then $H^{\kappa'}_{\rho,\delta} = H^\kappa_{-\rho,-\delta}$ and $\tilde{H}^{\kappa'}_{\rho,\delta} = \tilde{H}^\kappa_{-\rho,-\delta}$ hold for any ρ and $\delta \in R$. We shall prove $H^\kappa_{\rho,\delta} = \tilde{H}^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$ later on (see Proposition 3.8).

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\rho, \delta \in R$. Then*

$$H^\kappa_{\rho,\delta} = e^{-\rho<D>^\kappa} e^{-\delta<x>^\kappa} L^2 = e^{-\rho<D>^\kappa} \hat{H}^\kappa_\delta. \tag{i}$$

$$\tilde{H}^\kappa_{\rho,\delta} = e^{-\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{-\rho<D>^\kappa} L^2 = e^{-\delta<x>^\kappa} H^\kappa_\rho. \tag{ii}$$

Lemma 1.2 *Let $1 > \rho > 0, \delta \in R$ and $u \in \tilde{H}^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$. Then*

$$|D^\alpha_x u(x)| \leq C_n(1-\epsilon)^{-n/2}\|u\|_{\tilde{H}^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}}(\epsilon\rho)^{-|\alpha|}|\alpha|! e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} \tag{1.6}$$

for $x \in R^n, \alpha \in N^n$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$.

We can prove these lemmas analogously to the case of $\kappa = 1$ which is proved in [10].

2 Almost analytic extension of symbols

Following Hörmander's notation we define the symbol classes of pseudo-differential operators. Let $m(x,\xi), \varphi(x,\xi), \psi(x,\xi)$ a weight and $g = \varphi^{-2}dx^2 + \psi^{-2}d\xi^2$ a Riemann metric. We denote by $S(m,g)$ the set of symbols $a(x,\xi)$ satisfying

$$|a^{(\alpha)}_{(\beta)}(x,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta} m(x,\xi)\psi^{-\alpha|}\theta^{-|\beta|},$$

for $(x,\xi) \in R^{2n}, \alpha, \beta \in N^n$., where $a^{(\alpha)}_{(\beta)} = \partial^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x a$. Let $d \ge 1$. Moreover we call that a function $a(x,\xi) \in S(m,g)$ belongs to $\gamma^d S(m,g)$, if $a(x,\xi)$ satisfies that there are $C_a > 0, \rho_a > 0$ such that

$$|a^{(\alpha)}_{(\beta)}(x,\xi)| \le C_a \rho_a^{-|\alpha+\beta|}|\alpha+\beta|!^d \psi^{-|\beta|}\varphi^{-|\alpha|} \tag{2.1}$$

for $(x,\xi) \in R^{2n}, \alpha,\beta \in N^n$. We denote $g_0 = dx^2 + d\xi^2$ and $g_1 =< x >^{-2} dx^2 + < \xi >^{-2} d\xi^2$. We remark that the symbol class $\gamma^1 S(m, g_i)(i = 0, 1)$ is introduced in [10] when $d = 1$. Here we consider the case of $d > 1$.

Let $d > 1$ and $\chi(t) \in C_0^\infty((0,\infty))$ satsfying that $\chi(t) = 0, t \le 1/2, \chi(t) = 1, t \le 1$, and

$$|D^k_t \chi(t)| \le C_0 \rho_0^{-k} k!^d, \tag{2.2}$$

for $t \in R, k \in N$. Then for a weight $w(x,\xi) \in \gamma^d S(m, g_1)$ and a parameter $b > 0$ we can see easily that $\chi(bw(x,\xi)) \in \gamma^d S(1, g_1)$ satisfying

$$|D^\beta_x D^\alpha_\xi \chi(bw(x,\xi)))| \le C_1 \rho_1^{-|\alpha+\beta|}|\alpha+\beta|!^d < x >^{-|\beta|}< \xi >^{-|\alpha|}, \tag{2.3}$$

for $(x,\xi) \in R^{2n}, \alpha,\beta \in N^n, b \ge 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $d \ge 1$ and $\{p_k(x,\xi)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a series of symbols satisfying*

$$|p^{(\alpha)}_{k(\beta)}(x,\xi)| \le m(x,\xi)(< x >< \xi >)^k \rho_p^{-|\alpha+\beta|-k}|\alpha+\beta|!^d k!^d \langle x\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|}, \tag{2.4}$$

for $(x,\xi) \in R^{2n}, \alpha,\beta \in N^n$ and $k \ge 0$. Then there is $p(x,\xi) \in \gamma^{(d)} S(m, g_1)$ such that

$$p(x,\xi) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} p_k(x,\xi) \in \gamma^{(d)} S(m(\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle\rho_p)^{-N} N!^d, g_1), \tag{2.5}$$

for any integer $N \ge 0$.

Proof This lemma is essentially a result of [2]. The case of $d = 1$ is explained in [10]. Here we prove the lemma in the case of $d > 1$. Let $b_k = \rho_p^{-1} k!^{\frac{d}{k}} M$ and $M \ge 2$.Define

$$p(x,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k(x,\xi)\chi(b_k(\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle)^{-1}), \tag{2.6}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|p^{(\alpha)}_{(\beta)}(x,\xi)| &= |\sum_k \sum_{\alpha',\beta'} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'}\binom{\beta}{\beta-\beta'} p^{(\alpha')}_{k(\beta')}(\chi(b_k(\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle)^{-1}))^{(\alpha=\alpha')}_{(\beta-\beta')}| \\ &\le \sum_k \sum_{\alpha',\beta'} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'}\binom{\beta}{\beta-\beta'} m(x,\xi)\rho_k^{-|\alpha'+\beta'|}|\alpha'+\beta'|!^d \langle x\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|} \\ &\quad \times M^{-k} C_0 \rho_0^{-|\alpha-\alpha'+\beta-\beta'|}|\alpha-\alpha'+\beta=\beta'|!^d\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 2\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0-\rho_p}m(x,\xi)\rho^{-|\alpha+\beta|}|\alpha+\beta|!^d\langle x\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|},$$

for $(x,\xi)\in R^{2n}, \alpha,\beta\in N^n$. Here we used the following inequality

$$\sum_{\alpha'\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\alpha'}\rho_p^{-|\alpha'|}|\alpha'|!^d\rho_0^{-|\alpha-\alpha'|}|\alpha-\alpha'|!^d\leq\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0-\rho_p}|\alpha|!^d, \tag{2.7}$$

for $\rho_0>\rho_p$. Moreover we can write

$$p(x,\xi)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}p_k(x,\xi)$$

$$=\sum_{k=N}^{\infty}p_k(x,\xi)\chi(b_k(\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle)^{-1})+\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}p_k(x,\xi)(1-\chi(b_k(\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle)^{-1})$$

$$=: I+II.$$

Noting that $\rho_p^{-k}k!^d(M\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle)^{-N}\leq 1$ on $supp\chi(b_k(\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle)^{-1})$for $k\geq N$ and $\rho_p^{-k}k!^d(M\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle)^{-N}\geq 1/2$ on $supp(1-\chi(b_k(\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle)^{-1}))$ for $k\leq N-1$ respectively, we can see that I and II belong to $\gamma^dS(m(\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle\rho_p)^{-N}N!^d,g)$. Q.E.D.

Let $a(x,\xi)\in\gamma^d$(m,g$_1$), that is, $a(x,\xi)$ satisfies (2.1). Denote $b_\alpha(x)=B\rho_a^{-1}4^n\langle x\rangle^{-1}|\alpha|!^{\frac{d-1}{|\alpha|}}$ for $x\in R^n$. We define an almost analytic extension of $a(x,\xi)$ as follows,

$$a(x+iy,\xi+i\eta)=\sum_{\alpha,\beta}a_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)(-y)^\beta(i\eta)^\alpha\chi(b_\beta(x)|y|)\chi(b_\alpha(\xi)|\eta|)(\alpha!\beta!)^{-1}, \tag{2.8}$$

for $x,y,\xi,\eta\in R^n$, where $a_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)=\partial_\xi^\alpha(-i\partial_x)^\beta a(x,\xi)$. Then we can prove easily

Proposition 2.2 *Let $a(x,\xi)\in\gamma^dS(m,g_1)$. Then the function $a(x+iy,\xi+i\eta)$ defined by (2.8) satisfies the following properties.*

(i) $|D_x^\beta\partial_\xi^\alpha D_y^\gamma\partial_\eta^\delta a(x+iy,\xi+i\eta)|\leq Cm(x,\xi)(C\rho_a)^{-|\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta|}\langle x\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|}\langle y\rangle^{-|\gamma|}\langle\eta\rangle^{-|\delta|}|\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta|!^d.$

(ii)
$$|(\partial_{x_j}+i\partial_{y_j})D_x^\beta\partial_\xi^\alpha D_y^\gamma\partial_\eta^\delta a(x+iy,\xi+i\eta)|$$
$$\leq Cm(x,\xi)(C\rho_a)^{-|\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta|}e^{-c_0(\frac{\langle x\rangle}{|y|})^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}\langle x\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|}\langle y\rangle^{-|\gamma|}<\eta>^{-|\delta|}|\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta|!^d.$$

(iii)
$$|(\partial_{\xi_j}+i\partial_{\eta_j})D_x^\beta\partial_\xi^\alpha D_y^\gamma\partial_\eta^\delta a(x+iy,\xi+i\eta)|$$
$$\leq Cm(x,\xi)(C\rho_a)^{-|\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta|}e^{-c_0(\frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{|\eta|})^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}\langle x\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|}<y>^{-|\gamma|}\langle\eta\rangle^{-|\delta|}|\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta|!^d.$$

For simplicity denote $\gamma^{1/\kappa}S(e^{\delta\langle x\rangle^\kappa+\rho\langle\xi\rangle^\kappa},g_0)$ by $A_{\rho,\delta}^\kappa$, where $g_0=dx^2+d\xi^2$. For $a_i\in A_{\rho_i,\delta_i}^\kappa(i=1,2)$ we define a product of $a_1$and a_2 as follows,

$$(a_1\circ a_2)(x,\xi)=os-\int\int_{R^{2n}}e^{-iy\eta}a_1(x,\xi+\eta)a_2(x+y,\xi)dy\bar{d}\eta, \tag{2.9}$$

$$=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int\int_{R^{2n}}e^{-iy\eta-\epsilon(|y|^2+|\eta|^2)}a_1(x,\xi+\eta)a_2(x+y,\xi)dy\bar{d}\eta,$$

where $\bar{d}\eta=(2\pi)^{-n}d\eta$. Then we can show the proposition below.

Proposition 2.3. *(i) Let $\kappa \leq 1$ and $a_i \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho_i,\delta_i}, i = 1,2$. Then there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $|\rho_1|, |\delta_2| \leq \epsilon_0$, the product $a_1 \circ a_2$ belongs to $A^{\kappa}_{\rho_1+\rho_2,\delta_1+\delta_2}$.*
(ii) Let $a_i \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho_i,\delta_i}, i = 1,2,3$. Then if $|\rho_i|(i = 1,2), |\delta_i|(i = 2,3) \leq \epsilon_0/2$, we have $(a_1 \circ a_2) \circ a_3 = a_1 \circ (a_2 \circ a_3)$.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $d \geq 1$ and $a_i \in \gamma^d S(\langle x\rangle^{m_i}\langle \xi\rangle^{\ell_i}, g_1), i = 1,2$. Then $a_1 \circ a_2$ belongs to $S(\langle x\rangle^{m_1+m_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{\ell_1+\ell_2}, g_1)$ and moreover we can decompose*

$$a_1 \circ a_2(x,\xi) = p(x,\xi) + r(x,\xi), \tag{2.10}$$

where $p(x,\xi) \in \gamma^d S(\langle x\rangle^{m_1+m_2}\langle\xi\rangle^{\ell_1+\ell_2}, g_1)$ satisfies that there are $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 >$ such that

$$p(x,\xi) - \sum_{|\gamma|<N} \gamma!^{-1} a_1^{(\gamma)}(x,\xi) a_{2(\gamma)}(x,\xi) \in \gamma^d S(C^{1+N} N! \langle x\rangle^{m_1+m_2-N}\langle\xi\rangle^{\ell_1+\ell_2-N}, g), \tag{2.11}$$

for any non negative integer N, and $r(x,\xi)$ belongs to $A^{1/d}_{-\varepsilon_0,-\varepsilon_0}$.

3 Pseudo-differential operators

Let $< \kappa \leq 1$. Now we want to define a pseudo differential operator $a(x,D)$ for a symbol $a(x,\xi) \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho,\delta}$, which operates from $H^{\kappa}_{\rho',\delta'}$ to $H^{\kappa}_{\rho'-\rho,\delta'-\delta}$. When ρ and δ are non positive, since $A^{\kappa}_{\rho.\delta}$ is contained in the usual symbol class $S^0_{0,0}$(denote by $S^m_{\rho,\delta}$ the Hörmander's class), we can define

$$a(x,D)u(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} a(x,\xi)\hat{u}(\xi) \bar{d}\xi, \tag{3.1}$$

for $u \in L^2(R^n)$ and for $a \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho,\delta}$. Moreover for $a_i \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho_i,\delta_i}, i = 1,2$ (ρ_i and δ_i non positive) the symbol $\sigma(a_1(x,D)a_2(x,D))(x,\xi)$ of the product of $a_1(x,D)$ and $a_2(x,D)$ can be written as follows,

$$\sigma(a_1(x,D)a_2(x,D))(x,\xi) = (a_1 \circ a_2)(x,\xi) \tag{3.2}$$

and we have

$$a_1(x,D)(a_2(x,D)u)(x) = (a_1 \circ a_2)(x,D)u(x) \tag{3.3}$$

for $u \in L^2(R^n)$, where $a_1 \circ a_2$ is defined by (2.9). Next we shall show that (3.2) and (3.3) are valid for any ρ_i, δ_i. To do so, we need some preparations. Let $a \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho,\delta}$ and $u \in H^{\kappa}_{\rho}$. Then we can define $a(x,D)u(x)$ which belongs to $\hat{H}^{\kappa}_{\delta}$. In fact, put $\tilde{a}(z,\eta) = e^{-\delta\langle x\rangle^{\kappa} + \rho\langle\xi\rangle^{\kappa}} a(x,\xi)$. Then $\tilde{a}(z,\xi) \in A^{\kappa}_{0,0}$. Noting that $e^{\rho\langle\xi\rangle^{\kappa}}\hat{u}(\xi)$ we can define

$$e^{-\delta\langle x\rangle^{\kappa}} a(x,D)u(x) = \int e^{ix\xi}\tilde{a}(x,\xi) e^{\rho\langle\xi\rangle^{\kappa}}\hat{u}(\xi)\bar{d}\xi, \tag{3.4}$$

which is in L^2, that is, $a(x,D)u \in \hat{H}^{\kappa}_{\delta}$. For $\epsilon > 0$ we denote $\chi_\epsilon(x) = e^{-\epsilon\langle x\rangle^2}$ and $\chi_\epsilon(D) = e^{-\epsilon\langle D\rangle^2}$.

Lemma 3.1. *(i) Let $a \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho,\delta}(\rho,\delta \in R), u \in L^2$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ chosen in Proposition 2.3. Then for any* $\epsilon > 0$

$$a(x,D)(\chi_\epsilon(D)\chi_\epsilon(x)u)(x) = (a(x,\xi)\chi_\epsilon(\xi)) \circ \chi_\epsilon(x))(x,D)u(x) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$(a\chi_\epsilon(\xi)) \circ \chi_\epsilon(x) \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho-\epsilon_0,\delta-\epsilon_0}. \tag{3.6}$$

(ii) Let $u \in L^2$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ chosen in Proposition 2.3. Then there is $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$e^{-\rho<D>^\kappa}(e^{-\delta<x>^\kappa}\chi_\epsilon(x)\chi_\epsilon(D)u)(x) = a_\epsilon(x, D)u(x), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$a_\epsilon(x, \xi) = e^{-\rho<\xi>^\kappa} \circ (e^{-\delta<x>^\kappa}\chi_\epsilon(x)\chi_\epsilon(\xi)) \in A^\kappa_{-\rho-\epsilon_0,-\delta-\epsilon_0}, \tag{3.8}$$

for $|\rho| \le \epsilon_0$ and $\rho < \epsilon_1$. We can prove the following lemma by use of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u \in H^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$ and $|\rho|, |\delta| \le \epsilon_0/2$ (ϵ_0 is given in Proposition 2.3). Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $u_\epsilon \in H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0/2,\epsilon_0/2}$ such that*

$$\|u - u_\epsilon\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}} < \epsilon. \tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a \in A^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}, 0 < \epsilon_0', \tilde{\epsilon}_0 \le \epsilon_0$($\epsilon_0$ is given in Proposition 2.3) and $u \in H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0',\tilde{\epsilon}_0}$. Then there is $\epsilon_2 > 0$ independent of a, ρ and δ such that $a(x, D)u(x)$belongs to $H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0'-\rho,\tilde{\epsilon}_0-\delta}$ if $0 < \epsilon_0' - \rho \le min\{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_2$ $rho_a\}$ and $0 < \tilde{\epsilon}_0 - \delta \le \epsilon_0$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let $a_i \in A^\kappa_{\rho_i,\delta_i}(i = 1, 2)$ and $u \in H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0',\tilde{\epsilon}_0}(\epsilon_0', \tilde{\epsilon}_0 > 0)$. Then if $|\rho_1| \le \epsilon_0, |\delta_2| \le \epsilon_0, 0 < \epsilon_0' - \rho_2 \le \epsilon_0 min\{1, \rho_{a_2}\}, 0 < \tilde{\epsilon}_0 - \delta_2 \le \epsilon_0, 0 < \epsilon_0' - \rho_2 - \rho_1 \le \epsilon_0 min\{1, \rho_{a_1}\}$ and $0 < \tilde{\epsilon}_0 - \delta_2 - \delta_1 \le \epsilon_0$ are valid (ϵ_0 is given in Proposition 2.3), we have*

$$a_1(x, D)(a_2(x, D)u)(x) = (a_1 \circ a_2)(x, D)u(x), \tag{3.10}$$

which is in $H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0'-\rho_1-\rho_2,\tilde{\epsilon}_0-\delta_1-\delta_2}$.

Let $a \in A^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}(|\rho|, |\delta| \le \epsilon_0/4), u \in H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0/2,\epsilon_0/2}$ and $|\rho_1|, |\delta_1| < \epsilon_0/4$. Put $w = e^{\delta_1<x>^\kappa}e^{\rho_1<D>^\kappa}u$, which is in $H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0/2-\rho_1,\epsilon_0/2-\delta_1}$. Since we can write $u = e^{-\rho_1<D>^\kappa}(e^{-\delta_1<x>^\kappa}w)$, we get by use of Lemma 3.4 with $\epsilon_0' = \epsilon_0/2 - \rho_1, \tilde{\epsilon}_0 = \epsilon_0/2 - \delta_1, a_1 = a(x, \xi)e^{-\rho_1<\xi>^\kappa}$ and $a_2 = e^{-\delta_1<x>^\kappa\le k}, \epsilon_{a_2} = 1$,

$$a(x, D)u(x) = a(x, D)(e^{-\rho_1<D>^\kappa}(e^{-\delta_1<x>^\kappa}w) = ((a(x, \xi)e^{-\rho_1<\xi>^\kappa}) \circ e^{-\delta_1<x>^\kappa})(x, D)w(x).$$

Noting that $a_1(x, \xi) := (e^{(\delta_1-\delta)<x>\le k}e^{(\rho_1-\rho)<\xi>^\kappa}) \circ (a(x, \xi)e^{-\rho_1<\xi>^\kappa}) \circ e^{-\delta_1<x>^\kappa} \in A^\kappa_{0,0}$, we obtain

$$\|au\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho_1-\rho,\delta_1-\delta}} = \|a_1(x, D)w\|_{L^2} \le C\|w\|_{L^2} = C\|u\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho_1,\delta_1}} \tag{3.11}$$

for any $u \in H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0/2,\epsilon_0/2}$. Since $H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0/2,\epsilon_0/2}$ is dense in $H^\kappa_{\rho_1,\delta_1}$ from Lemma 3.2, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $a \in A^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}(|\rho|, |\delta| \le \epsilon_0/4), |\rho_1|, |\delta_1| < \epsilon_0/4$, where ϵ_0 are given in Proposition 2.3. Then $a(x, D)$ maps from $H^\kappa_{\rho_1,\delta_1}$ to $H^\kappa_{\rho_1-\rho,\delta_1-\delta}$ and satisfies the following inequality*

$$\|au\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho_1-\rho,\delta_1-\delta}} \le C\|u\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho_1,\delta_1}} \tag{3.12}$$

for any $u \in H^\kappa_{\rho_1,\delta_1}$.

For $a \in A^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$, we difine

$$a^t(x, \xi) = os - \int\int e^{iy\eta}a(x + y, \xi + \eta)dyd\bar{\eta}, \tag{3.13}$$

and $a^*(x,\xi) = \bar{a^t(x,\xi)}$. Then we can prove the following lemma, by the same way as that of the proof (i) of Proposition 2.3.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $a \in A^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$ and $|\rho|, |\delta| \leq \epsilon_0$. Then $a^t(x,\xi)$ defined in (2.29) belongs to $A^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$. Moreover it holds*

$$(3.14), \qquad (a^t(x,D)u,\varphi)_{L^2} = (u, a(\bar{x},D)\varphi)_{L^2},$$

$$(a^*(x,D)u,\varphi)_{L^2} = (u, a(x,D)\varphi)_{L^2},$$

for any $u, \varphi \in H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0}$.

The relation (3.14) and the inequality (3.12) yield

$$|(a^t u,\varphi)| \leq \|u\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho-\rho_1,\delta-\delta_1}} \|\bar{a}\varphi\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho_1-\rho,\delta_1-\delta}} \leq C\|u\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho-\rho_1,\delta-\delta_1}} \|\varphi\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho_1,\delta_1}},$$

if $|\rho|, |\delta| \leq \epsilon_0/4$ and $|\rho_1|, |\delta_1| < \epsilon_0/4$. Therefore taking account that $H^\kappa_{\epsilon_0/2,\epsilon_0/2}$ is dense in $H^\kappa_{\rho_1,\delta_1}$, we get from (3.14)

$$(3.15) \qquad \|a^t u\|_{H^\kappa_{-\rho_1,-\delta_1}} \leq C\|u\|_{H^\kappa_{\rho-\rho_1,\delta-\delta_1}},$$

for any $u \in H^\kappa_{\rho_1,\delta_1}$. Thus we get the following proposition.

Propostion 3.7. *Let $a \in A^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$ and $|\rho|, |\delta| \leq \epsilon_0/4$ and $|\rho_1|, |\delta_1| < \epsilon_0/4$. Then the pseudodifferential operators $a^t(x,D)$ and $a^*(x,D)$ satisfy (3.15).*

Noting that $(e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{\rho<D>^\kappa})^t = e^{\rho<D>^\kappa} e^{\delta<x>^\kappa}$, we have for $u \in H^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\rho<D>^\kappa} e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} u(x) &= (e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{\rho<D>^\kappa})^t (e^{-\rho<D>^\kappa} e^{-\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{\rho<D>^\kappa} u)(x) \\ &= (e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{\rho<D>^\kappa})^t \circ (e^{-\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{-\rho<D>^\kappa})^t e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{\rho<D>^\kappa} u(x). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we can see from Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.9 that$(e^{\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{\rho<\xi>^\kappa})^t \circ (e^{-\delta<x>^\kappa} e^{-\rho<\xi>^\kappa})^t$ is in $A^\kappa_{0,0}$. Hence we obtain the fact below.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $|\rho|, |\delta| \leq \epsilon_0/4$. Then u belongs to $H^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$ if and only if $u \in \tilde{H}^\kappa_{\rho,\delta}$.*

The following result on the multiple symbols of pseudodifferential operators is a special case of Lemma 2.2 of Chapter 7 in Kumanogo's book [12].

Lemma 3.9. *Let $r_j(x,\zeta) \in A^\kappa_{0,0} (j = 1, 2, ..., v)$ and put*

$$q_v(x,D) = r_1(x,D) r_2(x,D) \cdots r_v(x,D).$$

Then the symbol $q_v(x,\zeta)$ belongs to $A^\kappa_{0,0}$ and satisfies

$$(3.16) \qquad |q^{(\alpha)}_{v(\beta)}(x,\zeta)| \leq C^v \prod_{j=1}^{v} C_{r_j} \bar{\varepsilon}_v^{-|\alpha+\beta|} |\alpha+\beta|!,$$

for $(x,\zeta) \in R^{2n}, \alpha, \beta \in N^n$, where C is independent of v and $\bar{\varepsilon}_v = min\{\varepsilon_{r_j}/4\}$.

We can prove easily the following lemma as a corollary of Lemma 3.9, by using the Neumann series method.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $r(x,\xi)$ be in $A^{\kappa}_{0,0}$. If $C_r > 0$ is sufficiently small, then there is the inverse $(I + r(x,D))^{-1}$ which is a pseudodifferential operator with its symbol contained in $A^{\kappa}_{0,0}$.*

Lemma 3.11. *Let $j(x,\xi) \in \gamma^d S(\varepsilon_1, g_1)$. Then if $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ is small enough, there are $k_1(x,\xi) \in \gamma^d S(\varepsilon_1 < x >^{-1} < \xi >^{-1}, g_1)$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ independent of ε_1 and $r_\infty(x,\xi) \in A^{1/d}_{-\varepsilon_0,-\varepsilon_0}$ such that $(I + j(x,D))^{-1} = k(x,D) + k_1(x,D) + r_\infty(x,D)$, where $k(x,\xi) = (1 + j(x,\xi))^{-1}$.*

4 Fourier Integral Operators

For $\vartheta \in AS(\rho_\vartheta < \xi > + \delta_\vartheta < x >, g)(\rho_\vartheta, \delta_\vartheta \geq 0)$,where $d\kappa \leq 1$, we denote

$$\phi(x,\xi) = x\xi - i\vartheta(x,\xi).$$

For $a \in A^{\kappa}_{0,0}$ we define a Fourier integral operator with a phase function $\phi(x,\xi)$ as follows,

$$a_\phi(x,D)u(x) = \int_{R^n} e^{i\phi(x,\xi)} a(x,\xi)\hat{u}(\xi)\bar{d}\xi, \tag{4.1}$$

for $u \in H_{\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_0}$. Putting $p(x,\xi) = a(x,\xi)e^{\vartheta(x,\xi)}$, we can see $p(x,\xi) \in A^{\kappa}_{\rho_\vartheta,\delta_\vartheta}$. Therefore we can regard $a_\phi(x,D)$ as a pseudo differential operator with its symbol $p = ae^{\vartheta}$ defined in §2 and consequently it follows from Theorem 3.5 that $a_\phi(x,D)$ acts continuously from $H^{\kappa}_{\rho,\delta}$ to $H^{\kappa}_{\rho-\rho_\vartheta,\delta-\delta_\vartheta}$. However in order to construct the inverse operator of $p(x,D)$ it is better to regard $p(x,D)$ as a Fourier integral operator. In paticular for $a = 1$ we denote

$$I_\phi(x,D)u(x) = \int e^{i\phi(x,\xi)}\hat{u}(\xi)\bar{d}\xi, \tag{4.2}$$

$$I^R_\phi(x,D)v(x) = \int e^{ix\xi}\bar{d}\xi \int e^{i\phi(y,\xi)}v(y)dy. \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $a \in \gamma^d S(\langle x\rangle^m \langle\xi\rangle^\ell, g_1)$, $\vartheta \in \gamma^d S(\rho_\vartheta\langle\xi\rangle^\kappa + \delta_\vartheta\langle x\rangle^\kappa, g_1)$ and $\phi = x\xi - i\vartheta(x,\xi)$. Assume $d\kappa \leq 1$. Then if $\rho_\vartheta, \delta_\vartheta$ are sufficiently small, $\tilde{a}(x,D) = I_\phi(x,D)a(x,D)I_\phi^{-1}$ and $\tilde{a}'(x,D) = I_\phi(x,D)^{-1}a(x,D)I_\phi(x,D)$ are pseudodifferential operators of which symbols are given by*

$$\tilde{a}(x,\xi) = p(x,\xi) + r(x,\xi), \tag{4.4}$$

$$a'(x,\xi) = p'(x,\xi) + r'(x,\xi), \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$p(x,\xi) - a(x - i\nabla_\xi\vartheta(x,\Phi), \xi + i\nabla_x\vartheta(x,\Phi)) \in \gamma^d S(< x >^{m-1} < \xi >^{\ell-1}, g_1), \tag{4.6}$$

$$\tilde{p}'(x,\xi) - a(x + i\nabla_\xi\vartheta(\Phi',\xi), \xi - i\nabla_x\vartheta(\Phi',\xi)) \in \gamma^d S(< x >^{m-1} < \xi >^{\ell-1}, g_1), \tag{4.7}$$

where $\Phi = \Phi(x,x,\xi)$ and $\Phi' = \Phi'(x,\xi,\xi)$ are given by (4.6) and (4.19) respectively and r, r' belong to $A^{\kappa}_{-\varepsilon_0,-\varepsilon_0}$ for an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ independent of ρ_ϑ.

This theorem is proved in [10] in the case of $d = \kappa = 1$. We can prove it similar way as that of [10].

Next we consider a phase function $\vartheta \in \gamma^d S(\langle x\rangle^\sigma \langle\xi\rangle^\delta, g_1)$. When $\sigma + \delta = \kappa = 1/d < 1$ or $\sigma + \delta = 1$ and $d = min(\delta^{-1,\sigma^{-1}})$, Theorem 4.1 holds aiso, that is, we can prove Theorem 4.6 below. So far we consider only $d, \sigma, \delta, \kappa$ above. We note that $d > 1$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a(x,\xi) \in \gamma^d S(<x>^m<\xi>^\ell, g_1)$ and $\vartheta \in \gamma^d S(\rho_\vartheta <\xi>^\delta<x>^\sigma, g_1)(\rho_\vartheta \geq 0)$. Put $\phi = x\xi - i\vartheta(x,\xi)$ and $\tilde{a}(x,D) = a_\phi(x,D)I^R_{-\phi}(x,D)$. If ρ_ϑ is sufficiently small, then $\tilde{a}(x,\xi)$ belongs to $S(<x>^m<\xi>^\ell, g)$ and moreover satisfies*

$$\tilde{a}(x,\xi) = \tilde{p}(x,\xi) + r(x,\xi), \tag{4.8}$$

for $x,\xi \in R^n$, and

$$\tilde{p}(x,\xi) - \sum_{|\gamma|<N} \gamma!^{-1} D_y^\gamma \partial_\eta^\gamma \{a(x,\Phi(x,y,\eta))J(x,y,\eta)\}_{y=x,\eta=\xi}$$

$$\in \gamma^d S(C^{1+N}N!^d <x>^{m-N}<\xi>^{\ell-N}, g_1) \tag{4.9}$$

for any N, where $\Phi(x,y,\xi)$ is a solution of the following equation,

$$\Phi(x,y,\xi) - i\tilde{\nabla}_x\vartheta(x,y,\Phi(x,y,\xi)) = \xi, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_x\vartheta(x,y,\xi) = \int_0^1 \nabla\vartheta(y + t(x-y),\xi)dt, \tag{4.11}$$

$J(x,y,\xi) = \frac{D\Phi(x,y,\xi)}{D\xi}$ is the Jacobian of $\Phi, r(x,\xi) \in A^{1/d}_{-\varepsilon_0,-\varepsilon_0}$, and $C > 0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ are independent of ρ_ϑ.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $a(x,\xi)$ and ϑ be satisfied with the same condition as one of Lemma 4.2. For $\phi = x\xi - i\vartheta(x,\xi)$ put $a'(x,\xi) = I^R_{-\phi}(x,D)a_\phi(x,D)$. Then if ρ_ϑ and δ_ϑ are sufficiently small, $a'(x,\xi)$ belongs to $S(<x>^m<\xi>^\ell, g)$ and moreover satisfies*

$$a'(x,\xi) = p'(x,\xi) + r'(x,\xi), \tag{4.12}$$

$$p'(x,\xi) - \sum_{|\gamma|<N} \gamma^{-1} D_y^\gamma \partial_\eta^\gamma \{a(\Phi'(y,\xi,\eta),\xi)J'(y,\xi,\eta)\}_{y=x,\eta=\xi} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\in \gamma^d S(C^{1+N}N!^d <x>^{m-N}<\xi>^{\ell-N}, g_1),$$

for any non negative integer N, where $\Phi'(y,\xi,\eta)$ is a solution of the equation

$$\Phi'(y,\xi,\eta) - i\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\vartheta(\Phi'(y,\xi,\eta),\xi,\eta) = y, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\vartheta(y,\xi,\eta) = \int_0^1 \nabla_\xi\vartheta(y,\eta + t(\xi-\eta))dt, \tag{4.15}$$

and $J'(y,\xi,\eta) = \frac{D\Phi'(y,\xi,\eta)}{Dy}$, and $r'(x,\xi) \in A^{1/d}_{-\varepsilon_0,-\varepsilon_0}(\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is independent of ρ_ϑ.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\vartheta(x,\xi) \in \gamma^d S(\rho_\vartheta\langle x\rangle^\sigma\langle\xi\rangle^\delta, g_1)$. If ρ_ϑ and δ_ϑ are sufficently small, there is the inverse of $I_\phi(x,D)$, which maps continuously from H_{ρ_1,δ_1} to $H_{\rho_1-\rho_\vartheta,\delta_1-\delta_\vartheta}$ for $|\rho_1|, |\delta_1|$ small enough and satisfies*

$$I_\phi(x,D)^{-1} = I^R_{-\phi}(x,D)(I + j(x,D))^{-1} = (I + j'(x,D))^{-1}I^R_{-\phi}(x,D) \tag{4.16}$$

$$= I^R_{-\phi}(x,D)(k(x,D) + k_1(x,D) + r(x,D)) = (k'(x,\xi) + k'_1(x,D) + r'(x,D)))I^R_{-\phi}(x,D),$$

where $j(x,\xi)=J(x,0,\xi)-1+r_1(x,\xi), j'(x,\xi)=J'(x,\xi,0)-1+r_2(x,\xi), k(x,\xi)=J(x,0,\xi)^{-1}, k'(x,\xi)=J'(x,\xi,0)^{-1}$ *and* $k_1, k_1' \in \gamma^d S(<x>^{-1}<\xi>^{-1}, g_1)$ *and* $r, r' \in A^{1/d}_{-\varepsilon_0,-\varepsilon_0}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $a(x,\xi)$ *and* ϑ *be satisfied with the same condition as one of Lemma 3,3. Let* $\phi = x\xi - i\vartheta$. *Then we have*

$$\sigma(I_\phi(x,D)a(x,D))(x,\xi) = I_\phi \circ a(x,\xi) = e^{\vartheta(x,\xi)}(q(x,\xi)+r(x,\xi)), \tag{4.17}$$

$$\sigma(a(x,D)I_\phi(x,D)(x,\xi) = a \circ I_\phi(x,\xi) = e^{\vartheta(x,\xi)}(q'(x,\xi)+r'(x,\xi)), \tag{4.18}$$

where r, r' *is in* $A^{1/d}_{-\varepsilon_0,-\varepsilon_0}$, *if* ρ_ϑ *is sufficiently small, and* q, q' *satisfies*

$$q(x,\xi) - \sum_{|\gamma|<N} \gamma!^{-1} D_y^\delta \partial_\eta^\gamma \{a(x+y-i\tilde{\nabla}_\xi \vartheta(x,\xi,\eta),\xi)\}_{y=\eta=0} \tag{4.19}$$
$$\in \gamma^{1/d} S(C^{1+N} N!^d <x>^{m-N}<\xi>^{\ell-N}, g_1),$$

$$q'(x,\xi) - \sum_{|\gamma|<N} \gamma^{-1} D_y^\gamma \partial_\eta^\gamma \{a(x,\xi+\eta-i\tilde{\nabla}_x \vartheta(x,y,\xi))\}_{y=\eta=0} \tag{4.20}$$
$$\in \gamma^d S(C^{1+N} N!^d <x>^{m-N}<\xi>^{\ell-N}, g_1),$$

for any positive integer N, *and* $C>0$ *and* $\varepsilon_0>0$ *are independent of* ρ_ϑ, *where* $\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\vartheta(x,\xi,\eta) = \int_0^1 \nabla_\xi \vartheta(x,\xi+t\eta)dt$ *and* $\tilde{\nabla}_x\vartheta(x,y,\xi) = \int_0^1 \nabla_x\vartheta(x+ty,\xi)dt$.

Summing up Lemma 4.2-Lemma 4,5, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *Let* $a \in \gamma^d S(<x>^m<\xi>^\ell, g_1), \vartheta \in \gamma^d S(\rho_\vartheta <\xi>^\delta<x>^\sigma, g_1)$ *and* $\phi = x\xi - i\vartheta(x,\xi)$. *Assume that* $\sigma+\delta=\kappa=1/d<1$ *or* $\sigma+\delta=\kappa=1, d=min(\delta^{-1},\sigma^{-1})$. *Then if* $\rho_\vartheta, \delta_\vartheta$ *are sufficiently small,* $\tilde{a}(x,D) = I_\phi(x,D)a(x,D)I_\phi^{-1}$ *and* $\tilde{a}'(x,D) = I_\phi(x,D)^{-1}a(x,D)I_\phi(x,D)$ *are pseudodifferential operators of which symbols are given by*

$$\tilde{a}(x,\xi) = p(x,\xi)+r(x,\xi), \tag{4.21}$$

$$a'(x,\xi) = p'(x,\xi)+r'(x,\xi), \tag{4.22}$$

where

$$p(x,\xi) - a(x-i\nabla_\xi\vartheta(x,\Phi), \xi+i\nabla_x\vartheta(x,\Phi)) \in \gamma^d S(<x>^{m-1}<\xi>^{\ell-1}, g_1), \tag{4.23}$$

$$\tilde{p}'(x,\xi) - a(x+i\nabla_\xi\vartheta(\Phi',\xi), \xi-i\nabla_x\vartheta(\Phi',\xi)) \in \gamma^d S(<x>^{m-1}<\xi>^{\ell-1}, g_1), \tag{4.24}$$

where $\Phi = \Phi(x,x,\xi)$ *and* $\Phi' = \Phi'(x,\xi,\xi)$ *are given by (4.6) and (4.10) respectively and* r, r' *belong to* $A^{1/d}_{-\varepsilon_0,-\varepsilon_0}$ *for an* $\varepsilon_0>0$ *independent of* ρ_ϑ.

5 Criterion to L^2−well posed Cauchy problem

For $T>0$ let consider the following Cauchy problem,

$$\partial_t u(t,x) - i\Delta u(t,x) - b(t,x,D)u(t,x) = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

(5.2) $$u(0,x)=u_0(x),$$

for $(t,x)\in(0,T)\times R^n$. We assume that $b(t,x,\xi)$ is in $C^0([0,T];S^1_{1,0})$. Moreover we suppose that there are $C\in R, K>0$ such that

(5.3) $$Reb(t,x,\xi)\le C,$$

for $x,\xi\in R^n$ with $|x|,|\xi|\ge K$ and $t\in[0,T]$. Then we can prove the following theorem by use of the same method as that of [3] and [7].

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that the above conditions (4.3)-(4.5) are valid. For any $u_0\in L^2$ and $f\in C^0([0,T];L^2)$ there exists a unique solution $u\in C^0([0,T];L^2)\cap C^1([0,T];H^{-2})$ of the Cauchy problem (5.1)-(5.2).*

6 Proof of Theorem

Assume that $u(t,x)$ satisfies (1)-(2) in the introduction. Put $v(t,x)=e^{\rho t\langle D\rangle^\kappa}u(t,x)$. Then v satiesfies the following Cauchy problem,

(6.1) $$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t,x)=(i\Delta+c(t,x,D))v(t,x),$$

(6.2) $$v(0,x)=u_0(x),$$

where

$$c(t,x,D)=\rho\langle D\rangle^\kappa+e^{\rho\langle D\rangle^\kappa}b(t,xD)e^{-\rho\langle D\rangle^\kappa}$$

(6.3) $$=\rho\langle D\rangle^\kappa+b(t,x,D)+b_1(t,x,D)+r_2(t,x,D),$$

where $b_1(x,\xi)\in\gamma^dS(< \xi >< x >^{-1},g_1), r_1(t,z,\zeta)\in A^\kappa_{-\varepsilon_0+c\rho_0T,-\varepsilon_0}$from Theorem 4.1. Oncemore we change the unknown function v to w as follows,

(6.4) $$w(t,x)=I_\phi(x,D)v(t,x),$$

where $\phi=x\xi-i\epsilon\vartheta(t,x,\xi)$ and ϑ is given by

$$\vartheta(t,x,\xi)=\vartheta_0(x,\xi)\phi_0(\frac{\langle x\rangle}{M\langle\xi\rangle})+t\langle\xi\rangle^{\sigma+\delta}(1-\phi_0(\frac{\langle x\rangle}{M\langle\xi\rangle}),$$

$$\vartheta_0(x,\xi)=\frac{x\cdot\xi}{\langle x\rangle^{1-\sigma}\langle\xi\rangle^{1-\delta}\varepsilon_1})\phi_0(\frac{x\cdot\xi}{\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle\varepsilon_1})+\langle\xi\rangle^{\delta-\sigma}f(|x\cdot\xi|)[\phi_+(\frac{x\cdot\xi}{\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle\varepsilon_1})-\phi_-(\frac{x\cdot\xi}{\langle x\rangle\langle\xi\rangle\varepsilon_1})],$$

$$f(t)=\int_0^t(1+s^2)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}ds,$$

and $\phi_\pm(t)=\chi(\pm t), \phi_0(t)=1-\phi_+(t)-\phi_-(t)$ and $\chi(t)\in\gamma^d(R)$ such that $\chi(t)=1$ for $t\ge1,\chi(t)=0$ for $t\le1/2,\chi'(t)\ge0$ and $0\le\chi(t)\le1$. Then we can see that $\vartheta(t,x,\xi)$ belongs to $\gamma^dS(\langle x\rangle^\sigma\langle\xi\rangle^\delta,g_1)$ and that there are $\varepsilon_1>0, M>0, K>0, c_0>0$ such that ϑ satisfies

(6.5) $$(\partial_t+\xi\cdot\nabla_x)\vartheta(t,x,\xi)\ge c_0(\langle\xi\rangle^{2\delta}\langle x\rangle^{2\sigma-2}+\langle\xi\rangle^{\sigma+\delta}+\langle\xi\rangle\langle x\rangle^{\sigma+\delta-1})-c_1,$$

for $x,\xi\in R^n$ with $|x|,|\xi|\ge K, |t|\le T$.

It follows from Lemma 4.4 that if $|\epsilon|$ is sufficiently small, we have the inverse $I_\phi(x,D)^{-1}$. Therefore we get the following Cauchy problem of w from (6.1)-(6.2),

$$(6.6)\qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}w(t,x) = (\partial_t I_\phi)I_\phi(x,D)^{-1}w(t,x) + I_\phi(i\Delta + c(t,x,D))I_\phi(x,D)^{-1}w(t,x),$$

$$(6.7)\qquad w(0,x) = I_\phi(x,D)u_0(x).$$

Since $\vartheta(t,x,\xi) \in \gamma^d S(\langle x\rangle^\sigma\langle\xi\rangle^\delta, g_1)$, it follows from (4.10) that $\nabla_\xi\vartheta(x,\Phi(x,\xi)) \in \gamma^d S(< x >^\sigma < \xi >^{\delta-1}, g_1), \nabla_x\vartheta(x,\Phi(x,\xi)) \in \gamma^d S(< x >^{\sigma-1} < \xi >^\delta, g_1)$, and $\Phi(x,\xi) - \xi \in \gamma^d S(< x >^{\sigma-1} < \xi >^\delta, g_1)$. Hence we have from (4.16) in Theorem 4.6 and Proposition 2.3

$$(6.8)\qquad \sigma(I_\phi \Delta I_\phi^{-1})(x,\xi) = -|\xi + i\epsilon\nabla_x\vartheta(x,\Phi))|^2 + a_1(x,D) + r_2(x,\xi),$$

$$= -(|\xi|^2 + |\nabla x\vartheta(t,x,\xi)|^2 + 2i\varepsilon\xi\cdot\nabla_x\vartheta(t,x,\xi)) + a_1'(x,\xi) + r_2(x,D)$$

where $a_1 \in S(< \xi >< x >^{-1}, g)$, $a_1' \in S(< x >^{2\sigma-2} < \xi >^{2\delta} + \langle\xi\rangle\langle x\rangle^{-1}, g_1)$ and $r_2 \in A^{1/d}_{-\varepsilon_0+|c|\varepsilon|,-\varepsilon_0+c|\varepsilon|}$ for some $c > 0$ (independent of ε). Here we choose ε such that r_2 belongs to $S(1,g)$. Thus we obtain the equation of w from (6.6)-(6.7),

$$(5.10)\qquad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = (i\Delta + \rho\langle D\rangle^{\sigma+\delta} + b(t,x,D) + \epsilon(\partial_t + \xi\cdot\nabla_x)\vartheta)(t,x,D)) + r_3(t,x,D))w(t,x),$$

$$(5.11)\qquad w(0) = I_{\phi(0)}(x,D)u_0(x),$$

where $r_4 \in S(< \xi >^{2\delta} < x >^{2\sigma-2} + < \xi >< x >^{-1}, g_1)$. Moreover taking account of the assumptions (5) in the introduction and (6.5) we can choose conviniently $K > 0, \epsilon$ and ρ such that we have

$$\rho p(x,\xi) + Reb(t,x,\xi) - \epsilon H_a\theta(x,\xi) + Rer_4(t,x,\xi) \leq 0,$$

for $x,\xi \in R^n$ with $|x|, |\xi| \geq K$,where $K > 0$ is sufficiently large. Therefore we can solve the Cauchy problem (6.6)-(6.7) by use of Theorem 5.1, since $w(0) = I_{\phi(0)}u_0$ belongs to L^2, and cosequently we get the solution $u = e^{-\rho t\langle D\rangle^\kappa} I_\phi(x,D)^{-1}w(t,x) = e(t,x,D)^{-1}I_{\phi(t)}(x,D)^{-1}I_\phi(x,D)^{-1}w$, which satisfies (6) from Lemma 1.2. This completes the proof of Theorem.

References

[1] De Bouard A. Hayashi N. & Kato K. *Regularizing effect for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vrie equations and nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Analyse nonlinear vol. 12 pp. 673-725 (1995).

[2] Boutet de Monvel L. & Krée P. *Pseudo-differential operators and Gevrey classes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble vol.17 pp. 295-323 (1967).

[3] Doi S. *Remarks on the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations*, Comm. P.D.E. vol. 21 pp. 163-178 (1996).

[4] Hayashi N. & Saitoh S. *Analyticity and smoothing effect for Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Math. vol 52 pp. 163-173 (1990).

[5] Hayashi S., Nakamitsu K. & Tsutsumi M. *On solutions of the initial value problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension*, Math.Z. vol. 192 pp. 637-650 (1986).

[6] Jensen A. *Commutator method and a Smoothing property of the Schrödinger evolution group*, MathŻ. vol. 191 pp. 53-59 (1986).

[7] Kajitani K. *The Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations with variable coefficients* , to appear in Jour. Math. Soc. Japan vol.50 pp.179-202 (1998).

[8] Kajitani K. *Analytically smoothing effect for Schrödinger equations*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Dynamical Systems & Differential Equations in Southwest Missouri State University (1996).An added Volume I to Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 1998, pp. 350-353 (1998)

[9] Kajitani K. & Baba A. *The Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations* , Bull. Sci. math. vol. 119 pp. 459-473 (1995)

[10] Kajitani K. & Wakabayashi S. *Analytically smoothing effect for Schrödinger type equations with variable coefficients*, Proceeding of Symposium of P.D.E. at University of Delaware 1997.

[11] Kato K. & Taniguti K. *Gevrey regularizing effect fot nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Osaka J. Math. vol.33 pp. 863-880 (1996).

[12] Kato T.& Yajima K. *Some examples of smoothing operators and the associated smoothing effect*, Rev. Math. Phys. vol.1 pp. 481-496 (1989).

[13] Kumanogo H. *Pseudo-Differential Operators*, MIT Press (1981).